arXiv:1605.05801v1 [math.AG] 19 May 2016

A COMBINATORIAL DESCRIPTION OF DUAL DEFECTS OF TORIC VARIETIES

KATSUHISA FURUKAWA AND ATSUSHI ITO

Abstract. From a finite set in a lattice, we can define a toric variety embedded in a projective space. In this paper, we give a combinatorial description of the dual defect of the toric variety using the structure of the finite set as a Cayley sum with suitable conditions. We also interpret the description geometrically.

1. Introduction

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an n-dimensional projective variety over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. The *dual variety* $X^* \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ of X is the closure of all points $H \in (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ such that as a hyperplane H is tangent to X at some smooth point $x \in X$, where $(\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ is the dual projective space. The *dual defect* δ_X of X is the natural number $N - 1 - \dim X^*$. In other words, δ_X is the dimension of the *contact locus* on X of general $H \in X^*$, which is defined to be the closure of

$$\{x \in X_{sm} \,|\, H \text{ is tangent to } X \text{ at } x\},$$

where X_{sm} is the smooth locus of X. A variety X in $\mathbb{P}^N$ is said to be *dual defective* if the dual defect δ_X is positive. Otherwise, X is called *non-defective*.

In this paper, we consider the dual defects of (not necessarily normal) toric varieties defined from a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ as follows (see [GKZ], [CLS]).

For a finite subset $A = \{u_0, \ldots, u_N\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define the toric variety $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ to be the closure of the image of the morphism

$$\varphi_A : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \to \mathbb{P}^N \quad : \quad t \mapsto [t^{u_0} : \cdots : t^{u_N}],$$

where $t^{u_i} := t_1^{u_i^1} \cdots t_n^{u_i^n}$ for $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ and $u_i = (u_i^1, \ldots, u_i^n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We set $\langle A - A \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ to be the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by $A - A := \{u - u' \,|\, u, u' \in A\}$. If $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$, $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ is embedded into X_A as an open dense subset by φ_A.

Dual varieties of toric varieties have been studied by many authors from both the viewpoints of combinatorics and algebraic geometry, starting with the work of Gelfand, Kapranov, and Zelevinsky [GKZ]. For example, there are formulas to compute δ_{X_A} and the degree of the dual variety X_A^* by [GKZ], [DFS], [MT], [HS].

The explicit structures of A and X_A for dual defective X_A are also studied. We recall the notion of Cayley Sums.

Definition 1.1. Let $r \leqslant n$ be non-negative integers. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^r$. For finite sets $A_0, \ldots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$, the Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ of $A_0, \ldots, A_r$ is defined to be

$$A_0 * \cdots * A_r := (A_0 \times \{0\}) \cup (A_1 \times \{e_1\}) \cup \cdots \cup (A_r \times \{e_r\}) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14N05, 14M25.

Key words and phrases. Toric variety, Dual defect, Cayley sum.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. If X_A is dual defective, X_A and A have some special structure as follows.

If X_A is smooth, Di Rocco [DR] showed that $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ has positive dual defect d if and only if there exist finite subsets $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ with $2r - n = d$ such that

- A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to the Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$, i.e., there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-affine isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ which maps A onto $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$,
- all $\mathrm{Conv}(A_i)$'s have the same normal fan.

Geometrically, this is equivalent to say that there exist a smooth toric variety X' and torus equivariant ample line bundles $L_0, \dots, L_r$ on X' such that

$$(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A}(1)) \simeq (\mathbb{P}_{X'}(L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ is the tautological line bundle. This result is generalized to the case when X_A is normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial by Casagrande and Di Rocco [CD]. See also [DN] for dual defects of smooth X_A.

On the other hand, without any assumption on the singularity, it is known that A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to a Cayley sum $A_0 * A_1$ if X_A is dual defective by [CC], [Es]. More precisely, A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $A_0 * \cdots * A_\delta \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-\delta} \times \mathbb{Z}^\delta$ for some $A_0, \dots, A_\delta \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-\delta}$ for $\delta = \delta_{X_A}$ by [It, Corollary 4.2]. However, the converse statement does not hold in general (e.g., $X_A = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is not dual defective for $A = \{0,1\} * \{0,1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}^1$). To modify this result, we consider the following condition on Cayley sums.

Definition 1.2. For finite sets $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$, we say that the Cayley sum $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ is *of join type* if $\langle A_0 - A_0 \rangle + \cdots + \langle A_r - A_r \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ is the inner direct sum of $\langle A_0 - A_0 \rangle, \dots, \langle A_r - A_r \rangle$, i.e.,

$$\langle A_0 - A_0 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle A_r - A_r \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \ : \ (m_0, \dots, m_r) \mapsto m_0 + \cdots + m_r \tag{1.2}$$

is injective. We note that (1.2) is surjective if and only if $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ holds. Hence under the assumption $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$, $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ is of join type if and only if (1.2) is an isomorphism.

If $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ is of join type, X_A coincides with

$$J(X_{A_0}, \dots, X_{A_r}) := \bigcup_{x_0 \in X_0, \dots, x_r \in X_r} \overline{x_0 \dots x_r},$$

the join of $X_{A_0}, \dots, X_{A_r}$ with natural embeddings $X_{A_i} \hookrightarrow X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, where $\overline{x_0 \dots x_r}$ is the linear subvariety spanned by $x_0, \dots, x_r$. See §6.1 for detail.

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3 (= Theorem 5.1)**.** *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then there exist finite subsets $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ and a surjective group homomorphism $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$ for some non-negative integers r, c such that the following three conditions are satisfied;*

(a) $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to the Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$,
(b) $p(A_0) * \cdots * p(A_r) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is of join type,
(c) the dual defect $\delta_{X_A} = r - c$.

We remark that, if there exist $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ and $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$ which satisfy the conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 1.3, it holds that $\delta_{X_A} \geqslant r - c$ in general

as we will see in Proposition 4.7 and Lemma 6.5. Hence we can regard Theorem 1.3 as a combinatorial description of dual defects of toric varieties.

Since $p \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_r}(A_0 * \cdots * A_r) = p(A_0) * \cdots * p(A_r)$ is of join type, X_A contains $X_{p\times 1_{\mathbb{Z}_r}(A_0*\cdots*A_r)} = J(X_{p(A_0)}, \ldots, X_{p(A_r)})$. In other words, $X_{p\times 1_{\mathbb{Z}_r}(A_0*\cdots*A_r)}$ is the closure of a fiber of the torus equivariant rational map $X_A \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$ induced by $\mathbb{Z}^c \simeq \ker(p \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^r}) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$. Hence we have the following geometric structure of a toric variety with respect to the dual defect.

Theorem 1.4 (= Theorem 6.7)**.** *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A\rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. There exists a torus equivariant dominant rational map $\psi : X_A \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$ for some $c \geqslant 0$ such that the closure of each fiber is projectively equivalent to the join of $r+1$ non-defective toric varieties and $\delta_{X_A} = r - c$.*

In general theory of projective geometry, we have the following two lower bounds about dual defects (see §6).

- Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety. If there exists a covering family $\{Y_s\}_s$ (i.e., $\overline{\bigcup Y_s} = X$) of subvarieties of X of codimension c and $\delta_{Y_s} = \delta$ for general s, it holds that $\delta_X \geqslant \delta - c$.
- If Y is the join of $r+1$ varieties, then $\delta_Y \geqslant r$ holds.

Theorem 1.3 means that X_A has a covering family $\{Y_s\}_{s\in(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r}$ for $Y_s = \overline{\psi^{-1}(s)}$ such that each $\overline{\psi^{-1}(s)}$ is the join of $r+1$ toric varieties and the equalities hold in the above two inequalities.

Remark 1.5. If X_A is smooth, we can take as ψ in Theorem 1.4 the *morphism* $\mathbb{P}_{X'}(L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r) \to X'$ under the identification (1.1). More generally, if X_A is normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, we can take as ψ an elementary extremal contraction of fiber type $\psi : X_A \to X'$ to a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial toric variety X' by [CD, Corollary 5.5, Remark 5.6].

In general, we cannot take a morphism as ψ, even if X_A is normal. See Example 6.9.

Remark 1.6. As we will see in Example 5.2, A_i and p in Theorem 1.3 or ψ in Theorem 1.4 are *not* unique in general. In fact, even r and c are not uniquely determined by A. However, we actually construct $A_0, \ldots, A_r$ and p so that the subvariety $X_{p(A_0)*\cdots*p(A_r)} \subset X$ satisfies the following condition: if $A'_0, \ldots, A'_{r'} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r'}$ and $p' : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r'} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c'}$ also satisfy (a), (b), (c) in Theorem 1.3, $X_{p'(A'_0)*\cdots*p'(A'_{r'})} \subset X_A$ is contained in $X_{p(A_0)*\cdots*p(A_r)}$. By adding this maximality condition in Theorem 1.3, $A_0, \ldots, A_r$ and p are *uniquely* determined by A up to suitable $\mathbb{Z}$-affine isomorphisms. See Theorem 5.4 for detail.

In the end of the introduction, we explain the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite set with $\langle A - A\rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a general hyperplane which is tangent to X_A at the unit element $1_n \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \subset X_A$. By definition, δ_{X_A} is the dimension of the open subset of the contact locus,

$$Z_H^\circ := \{x \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \mid H \text{ is tangent to } X_A \text{ at } x\} \subset X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N.$$

If $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ for some $A_0, \ldots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$, we have a subtorus

$$\{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n-r} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \subset X_{A_0*\cdots*A_r}.$$

By a direct calculation, we have a linear algebraic description of $Z_H^\circ \cap (\{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r)$ by using $\langle A_i - A_i \rangle$'s in $\mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ in §4.

To reduce Theorem 1.3 to this linear algebraic description, we use the well-known fact that the closure $Z_H := \overline{Z_H^\circ} \subset X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a linear subvariety. In §3, we show that the linear subvariety $Z_H \subset X_A$ induces an identification of A with a Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ for some r such that Z_H is *contained* in a (possibly larger) linear subvariety

$$\mathbb{P}^r = \overline{\{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r} \subset X_{A_0 * \cdots * A_r} = X_A.$$

Then Z_H° is contained in $\{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$. Hence we have a linear algebraic description of $Z_H^\circ = Z_H^\circ \cap (\{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r)$, from which we can construct $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$.

In the proofs of [DR], [CD], they construct ψ in Theorem 1.4 as an extremal contraction induced by lines in Z_H. However, we cannot take a morphism as ψ in general. This is the reason why we do not use extremal contractions in our proof.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we make some preliminaries. In §3, we prove that a linear subvariety of X_A induces an identification of A with a suitable Cayley sum (Corollary 3.7). Corollary 3.7 has been proven concurrently by Ilten and Zotine in independent work [IZ]. In §4, we give a linear algebraic description of $Z_H^\circ \cap (\{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r)$, as stated in the idea of the proof. In §5, we prove Theorem 1.3. In §6, we interpret Theorem 1.3 geometrically and show Theorem 1.4. Throughout this paper, we work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Professor Nathan Ilten and Mr. Alexandre Zotine for sending us their draft. The second author was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS fellows, No. 26–1881.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notations and conventions.** We denote by $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$ the set of all natural numbers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers respectively. In this paper, $\mathbb{N}$ contains 0. We denote $\mathbb{C}\backslash 0$ by $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Let us denote by 1_n the unit element of the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$.

Let M, M' be free abelian groups. We say that a subset $S \subset M$ is *$\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to* a subset $S' \subset M'$ if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-affine isomorphism $M \to M'$ which maps S onto S'.

For a free abelian group M and for a field k, we denote $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ by M_k.

Throughout this paper, we use the word "general" with respect to Zariski topology, that is, for a variety X, we say a property holds at a *general* point of X if it holds for all points in the complement of a proper algebraic subset.

2.2. **Preliminary on toric varieties and Cayley sums.** We recall some basic facts about toric varieties.

For a finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $\theta : \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathrm{Aff}(A)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-affine isomorphism, where $\mathrm{Aff}(A) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the affine lattice spanned by A and $m = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Aff}(A) = \mathrm{rk}\langle A - A \rangle$. Then $\theta^{-1}(A)$ spans $\mathbb{Z}^m$ as an affine lattice and $X_{\theta^{-1}(A)}$ is naturally identified with X_A by [GKZ, Chapter 5, Proposition 1.2]. In particular, we can identify X_A and $X_{A'}$ if $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $A' \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent.

The following is a well-known fact. See [FI, Lemma 2.1] for example for the proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A\rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n'}$ be a surjective group homomorphism. Then there exists a natural torus equivariant embedding $i : X_{\pi(A)} \hookrightarrow X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^{\#A-1}$ so that $X_{\pi(A)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\#\pi(A)-1}$ and $i(X_{\pi(A)}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\#A-1}$ are projectively equivalent.*

By this lemma, we can regard $X_{\pi(A)}$ as a closed subvariety of X_A. If $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ is a Cayley sum and $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ is the projection to the second factor, the subvariety $\mathbb{P}^r = X_{\{0,e_1,\dots,e_r\}} \subset X_{A_0*\cdots*A_r}$ coincides with the closure of the subtorus $\{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n-r} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \subset X_{A_0*\cdots*A_r}$.

The following lemma is also well-known. We give a proof since we will use the argument in §5.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A\rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ be a surjective group homomorphism such that $\pi(A)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\{0, e_1, \dots, e_r\}$. Then there exist finite subsets $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$-affine isomorphisms $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $g : \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $f(A) = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ and*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}^n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{Z}^r \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & \mathbb{Z}^r \end{array}$$

is commutative, where pr_2 is the projection to the second factor. In particular,

(i) A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to the Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$,
(ii) under the identification of X_A and $X_{A_0*\cdots*A_r}$, the subvariety $X_{\pi(A)} \subset X_A$ coincides with $X_{\{0,e_1,\dots,e_r\}} \subset X_{A_0*\cdots*A_r}$.

Proof. Let $s : \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a section of $0 \to \ker\pi \to \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}^r \to 0$ and set $\pi(A) = \{u'_0, \dots, u'_r\}$. We identify $\ker\pi$ with $\mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ by taking a basis of $\ker\pi$. Then the isomorphism defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \to \ker\pi \times \mathbb{Z}^r = \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r \ : \ u \mapsto (u - s(\pi(u)), \pi(u)) \tag{2.1}$$

maps A onto $\bigcup_{i=0}^r (A_i \times \{u'_i\})$, where

$$A_i := (\pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A) - s(u'_i) \subset \ker\pi = \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$$

is the parallel translation of $\pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A$ by $-s(u'_i)$.

Let g be the $\mathbb{Z}$-affine isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ defined by $g(u'_0) = 0$ and $g(u'_i) = e_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. Let $f : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ be the composite of (2.1) and $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^{n-r}} \times g : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$. By construction, $f(A) = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ f = g \circ \pi$ hold.

(i) follows from $f(A) = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ and (ii) follows from the commutativity $\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ f = g \circ \pi$. □

Finally, we see that a Cayley sum is of join type if so is its refinement. The simplest case is the following example.

Example 2.3. Let $A'_0, \dots, A'_r, A'_{r+1} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-1}$ be finite subsets for $0 \leqslant r \leqslant n-1$. Set $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-1} \times \mathbb{Z}e_{r+1} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ by

$$A_0 = A'_0 \times \{0\} \cup A'_{r+1} \times \{e_{r+1}\}, \quad A_i = A'_i \times \{0\} \ \text{ for } \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r.$$

Then $A_0 * \cdots * A_r \subset (\mathbb{Z}^{n-r-1} \times \mathbb{Z}e_{r+1}) \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $A'_0 * \cdots * A'_r * A'_{r+1} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-1} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$. Since

$$\langle A_0 - A_0 \rangle = \langle A'_0 - A'_0 \rangle \times \{0\} + \langle A'_{r+1} - A'_{r+1} \rangle \times \{0\} + \mathbb{Z}(0, e_{r+1}),$$
$$\langle A_i - A_i \rangle = \langle A'_i - A'_i \rangle \times \{0\} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$$

in $\mathbb{Z}^{n-r-1} \times \mathbb{Z}e_{r+1}$, we have that $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ is of join type if so is $A'_0 * \cdots * A'_r * A'_{r+1}$.

By repeating this argument, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $r \leqslant r' \leqslant n$ be non-negative integers and let*

$$\pi := \varpi \circ \pi' \ : \ \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\pi'} \mathbb{Z}^{r'} \xrightarrow{\varpi} \mathbb{Z}^r$$

be the composite of two surjective group homomorphisms π', ϖ.

Assume that $\pi'(A) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_{r'}\}$ and $\pi(A) \subset \mathbb{Z}^r$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$. Let $A_0, \ldots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ (resp. $A'_0, \ldots, A'_{r'} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r'}$) be the finite subsets obtained by Lemma 2.2 for π (resp. π'). If $A'_0 * \cdots * A'_{r'}$ is of join type, so is $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$.

Proof. By the induction of $r' - r$, it suffices to show the case $r' = r + 1$. In this case, this lemma follows from Example 2.3. □

3. Cayley structure induced from planes

3.1. **Normal toric varieties defined by lattice polytopes.** In this section, we consider normal toric varieties defined by polytopes. Hence we recall some notations about normal toric varieties. We refer the reader to [Fu], [CLS] for a further treatment.

A *lattice polytope* in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the convex hull of a finite set in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The *dimension* of a lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the dimension of the affine space spanned by P. For a subset S in an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, we denote by $\mathrm{Conv}(S)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Cone}(S)$) the convex hull of S (resp. the closed convex cone spanned by S). We say subsets S, S' in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are *$\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent* if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-affine translation of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which maps S onto S'.

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice polytope of dimension n. Then we can define the polarized toric variety associated to P as

$$(X_P, L_P) = (\mathrm{Proj}\, \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_P], \mathcal{O}(1)),$$

where $\Gamma_P := \mathrm{Cone}(\{1\} \times P) \cap (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$. We consider that Γ_P is graded by $\mathbb{N}$, that is, the degree k part of Γ_P is $\Gamma_P \cap (\{k\} \times \mathbb{Z}^n) = \{k\} \times (kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$. There exists a natural action on X_P by the torus $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. We denote the maximal orbit in X_P by $O_P = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. We regard $1_n \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ as a point in X_P by $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n = O_P \subset X_P$.

By definition, a lattice point $u \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ corresponds to a global section $x^u \in H^0(X_P, L_P)$. It is well known that such global sections form a basis of $H^0(X_P, L_P)$ and the linear system $|L_P|$ is base point free.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite set and assume that the lattice polytope $P := \mathrm{Conv}(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is n-dimensional. Then the linear system $|\bigoplus_{u \in A} \mathbb{C}x^u| \subset |L_P|$ defines a finite morphism $\nu : X_P \to \mathbb{P}^N$ for $N = \#A - 1$. The image is nothing but $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. If $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$, ν is the normalization of X_A.

3.2. **Refinement of a result in** [It]**.**

Definition 3.1. Let (X, L) be a polarized variety and let r be a non-negative integer. A subvariety $Z \subset X$ is called an *r-plane* if $(Z, L|_Z)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1))$ as a polarized variety.

In this paper, we consider r-planes in (X_P, L_P) or $(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)|_{X_A})$. We say that a subvariety of X_P or X_A is an r-plane under these polarizations.

Let $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ be a surjective group homomorphism and $\pi_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^r$ be the induced $\mathbb{R}$-linear map. Assume $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\mathrm{Conv}(0, e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ for an n-dimensional lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then π induces a surjective semigroup homomorphism $\Gamma_P \to \Gamma_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$. Hence we have an embedding $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)} \hookrightarrow X_P$ with $L_P|_{X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}} = L_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$. Since $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\mathrm{Conv}(0, e_1, \ldots, e_r)$, $X_{\pi(P)} \subset X_P$ is an r-plane. The following result states that conversely we can find such $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ from an r-plane in X_P.

Theorem 3.2 ([It, Theorem 1.2])**.** *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice polytope of dimension n. Then there exists a surjective group homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\mathrm{Conv}(0, e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ if and only if there exists an r-plane $Z \subset X_P$ such that $1_n \in Z \cap O_P$.*

To prove Theorem 1.3, we want a projection π such that $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$ *contains* Z as we explained in Introduction. However, the above theorem does not give such π. Roughly this is because we construct π so that Z degenerates to $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$ by choosing a monomial order of $\mathbb{N}^r$ in the proof, although the degeneration does not appear explicitly. In particular, the r-plane $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$ constructed in [It] depends on the monomial order. The following theorem gives a refinement of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice polytope of dimension n. Let $Z \subset X_P$ be an l-plane with $1_n \in Z \cap O_P$ for $l \geqslant 0$. Then there exists a surjective group homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that*

(i) $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\mathrm{Conv}(0, e_1, \ldots, e_r)$,
(ii) the r-plane $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)} \subset X_P$ contains Z,
(iii) if a surjective group homomorphism $\pi' : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ satisfies (i), (ii), then $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)} \subset X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$ holds as subvarieties of X_P.

Remark 3.4. By (ii), we have $r \geqslant l$. Hence Theorem 3.2 follows from Theorem 3.3.

By (iii), such r-plane $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)} \subset X_P$ is uniquely determined by P and Z. In other words, such π is uniquely determined up to $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z}^r)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. **Step 1.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a vertex of P. Let $x^u \in H^0(X_P, L_P)$ be the section corresponding to $u \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, and let $D_u \in |L_P|$ be the corresponding divisor. Since 1_n is contained in Z and not contained in D_u, we have $Z \nsubseteq D_u$. Hence $D_u|_Z$ is a divisor on Z. Since Z is an l-plane, $D_u|_Z$ is a hyperplane on $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^l$.

Consider the following set of hyperplanes on Z

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ H \subset Z \,\middle|\, H = D_u|_Z \text{ for some } u \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}.$$

Let $H_0, \ldots, H_r$ be all the members of $\mathcal{H}$ for $r = \#\mathcal{H} - 1$. Since $\bigcup_{u \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \operatorname{Supp} D_u = X_P \backslash O_P$, we have $\bigcup_{i=0}^r H_i = Z \backslash (Z \cap O_P)$.

We can decompose $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ into the disjoint union of $A^0, A^1 \cdots, A^r$ by

$$A^i := \left\{ u \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \,\middle|\, D_u|_Z = H_i \subset Z \right\}.$$

We may assume that $0 \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ is contained in A^0. We note that each A^i is not empty. In the following steps, we will construct $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ which contracts each A^i to a point.

Step 2. We define a graded semigroup homomorphism

$$\beta : \Gamma_P = \mathrm{Cone}(\{1\} \times P) \cap (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^n) \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^r$$

as follows. For $(k, u) \in \Gamma_P$, we denote by $x^{(k,u)} \in H^0(X_P, kL_P)$ the corresponding section. Hence we have the corresponding divisor $D_{(k,u)} \in |kL_P|$ on X_P. Since $\mathrm{Supp}\, D_{(k,u)} \subset X_P \backslash O_P$, $\mathrm{Supp}\, D_{(k,u)}|_Z$ is contained in $Z \backslash (Z \cap O_P) = \bigcup_{i=0}^r H_i$. Thus we can write

$$D_{(k,u)}|_Z = a_0 H_0 + \cdots + a_r H_r \in \left|kL_P|_Z\right| \simeq |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(k)|$$

uniquely for some $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^r a_i = k$. We define

$$\beta(k, u) = (k, a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^r. \tag{3.1}$$

We note that we do not take a_0 in the definition of β. For $(k, u), (k', u') \in \Gamma_P$, we have

$$x^{(k,u)} \cdot x^{(k',u')} = x^{(k+k',u+u')} \in H^0(X_P, (k + k')L_P).$$

Hence $D_{(k+k',u+u')}|_Z = D_{(k,u)}|_Z + D_{(k',u')}|_Z$ holds. Thus β is a semigroup homomorphism.

Set $\Delta_r = \mathrm{Conv}(0, e_1, \ldots, e_r) \subset \mathbb{R}^r$. Since $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1 + \cdots + a_r \leqslant k$ for a_i in (3.1), we have $\beta(\Gamma_P) \subset \Gamma_{\Delta_r} = \mathrm{Cone}(\{1\} \times \Delta_r) \cap (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$.

For $u \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, the divisor $D_{(1,u)}$ is nothing but D_u in Step 1. Hence we have $D_{(1,u)}|_Z = H_i$ as divisors for $u \in A^i$. Thus

$$\beta(1, u) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } \ u \in A^0 \\ (1, e_i) & \text{if } \ u \in A^i \ \text{ for } \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, we have $\beta(\Gamma_P) = \Gamma_{\Delta_r}$ since Γ_{Δ_r} is generated by $(1, 0), (1, e_1), \ldots, (1, e_r)$ as a semigroup.

Step 3. Since β is a semigroup homomorphism and $\Gamma_P \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ spans $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ as a group, β uniquely extends to a group homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$. We denote the group homomorphism by the same letter β. We define a $\mathbb{Z}$-affine homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ by

$$\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \simeq \{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^r,$$

where the last homomorphism is the projection to the second factor.

By (3.2),

$$\pi(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ u \in A^0 \\ e_i & \text{if } \ u \in A^i \ \text{ for } \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

In particular, $\pi(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ holds since $0 \in A^0$. Hence π is a surjective group homomorphism. Since $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P) = \Delta_r$ by (3.3), (i) follows. The embedding $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)} \subset X_P$ is induced by the graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_P] \to \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_{\Delta_r}] : x^{(k,u)} \mapsto x^{\beta(k,u)}.$$

Step 4. To show (ii), it suffices to see that the natural homomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_P] = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} H^0(X_P, kL_P) \to \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} H^0(Z, kL_P|_Z). \tag{3.4}$$

factors through Ψ. Since Ψ is induced by β, the kernel of Ψ is generated by

$$\{x^{(k,u)} - x^{(k,u')} \mid (k,u),(k,u') \in \Gamma_P, \beta(k,u) = \beta(k,u')\}.$$

By the following claim, $\ker\Psi$ is contained in the kernel of (3.4), which implies $Z \subset X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$.

Claim 3.5. *If $\beta(k,u) = \beta(k,u')$ for $(k,u),(k,u') \in \Gamma_P$, it holds that $x^{(k,u)}|_Z = x^{(k,u')}|_Z \in H^0(Z, kL_P|_Z) \simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}^l, \mathcal{O}(k))$.*

Proof. For $(k,u) \in \Gamma_P$, we can write

$$D_{(k,u)}|_Z = a_0H_0 + \cdots + a_rH_r \in \left|L_P|_Z\right| \simeq |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(k)|$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^r a_i = k$ by Step 2. Hence $\beta(k,u) = \beta(k,u')$ holds if and only if $D_{(k,u)}|_Z = D_{(k,u')}|_Z$ holds as divisors on Z. Thus we have $x^{(k,u)}|_Z = a\cdot x^{(k,u')}|_Z$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. By substituting $1_n \in Z \cap O_P$ to this equality, we have $a = 1$. □

Step 5. To show (iii), take another surjective group homomorphism $\pi' : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ such that $\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\Delta_{r'} = \mathrm{Conv}(0, e_1, \ldots, e_{r'})$ and $Z \subset X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)} \subset X_P$.

Since $\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\Delta_{r'}$, $(\ker\pi')_{\mathbb{R}} = \ker(\pi'_{\mathbb{R}})$ is generated by

$$\{u - u' \mid u, u' \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n, \pi'(u) = \pi'(u')\}$$

as an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. If $\pi'(u) = \pi'(u')$ for $u, u' \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$x^u|_{X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}} = x^{u'}|_{X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}} \in H^0(X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}, L_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}) = H^0(X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}, L_P|_{X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}}).$$

Since $Z \subset X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$, $x^u|_Z = x^{u'}|_Z \in H^0(Z, L_P|_Z)$ holds, and hence $D_u|_Z = D_{u'}|_Z$. By the definition of A^i and (3.3), we have $\pi(u) = \pi(u')$, i.e., $u - u' \in \ker\pi$. Hence $\ker\pi' \subset \ker\pi$, which implies $X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)} \subset X_{\pi'_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}$. □

Lemma 3.6. *In the statement of Theorem 3.3, let $\overline{H}_0, \overline{H}_1, \ldots, \overline{H}_r \subset X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)} \simeq \mathbb{P}^r$ be the torus invariant hyperplanes. Then we have $\overline{H}_i|_Z \neq \overline{H}_j|_Z$ for any $i \neq j$ as hyperplanes on Z.*

Proof. We use the notation in the proof of Theorem 3.3. By renumbering the indexes, we may assume that $\overline{H}_i$ is the hyperplane corresponding to $\pi(A^i) \in \pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P) \cap \mathbb{Z}^r = \{0, e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$. Then we have $\overline{H}_i = D_u|_{X_{\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(P)}}$ for $u \in A^i$. Since $\overline{H}_i|_Z = D_u|_Z = H_i$ for $u \in A^i$, the assertion follows. □

As a corollary of Theorem 3.3, we have a similar statement for $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A\rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $Z \subset X_A$ be an l-plane with $1_n \in Z$ for $l \geqslant 0$. Then there exists a surjective group homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that*

(i) $\pi(A)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$,
(ii) the r-plane $X_{\pi(A)} \subset X_A$ contains Z,

(iii) if a surjective group homomorphism $\pi' : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ satisfies (i) and (ii), it holds that $X_{\pi(A)} \subset X_{\pi'(A)}$ as subvarieties of X_A.

Proof. Set $P = \mathrm{Conv}(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have the normalization $\nu : X_P \to X_A$ such that $\nu^*\mathcal{O}_{X_A}(1) = L_P$. Let $Z' \subset X_P$ be the strict transform of $Z \subset X_A$. Since ν is an isomorphism over $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \subset X_A$ and $Z \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \neq \emptyset$, $\nu|_{Z'} : Z' \to Z$ is finite and birational. Since $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^l$ is normal, $\nu|_{Z'}$ is an isomorphism by Zariski's main theorem. Hence $1_n \in Z' \subset X_P$ is an l-plane. Thus we can apply Theorem 3.3 to P, Z' and obtain $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ which satisfies (i)-(iii). □

Remark 3.8. In [IZ, Section 5], Ilten and Zotine prove Corollary 3.7 independently, as a key step to describe the Fano schemes of X_A. We note that they also consider l-planes in $X_A \backslash (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$.

We also have the following lemma in a similar way to Lemma 3.6. This will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.9. *For $X_{\pi(A)}$ in the statement of Corollary 3.7 and the torus invariant hyperplanes $\overline{H}_0, \overline{H}_1, \ldots, \overline{H}_r \subset X_{\pi(A)} \simeq \mathbb{P}^r$, we have $\overline{H}_i|_Z \neq \overline{H}_j|_Z$ for any $i \neq j$ as hyperplanes on Z.*

4. Cayley sum and Contact locus

Definition 4.1. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a hyperplane. We set

$$Z^\circ_{A,H} := \{x \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \mid H \text{ is tangent to } X_A \text{ at } x\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \subset X_A$$

and let $Z_{A,H} \subset X_A$ be the closure of $Z^\circ_{A,H}$. We call $Z_{A,H}$ the *contact locus* of H on X_A.

It is known that $Z_{A,H} \subset X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a δ_{X_A}-plane if $H \in (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ is a general point of X_A^* (see [Te, Theorem 1.18] for example). Because of the torus action on X_A, $Z_{A,H}$ is a δ_{X_A}-plane if H is a general hyperplane which is tangent to X_A at 1_n.

In this section, we fix finite sets $A_0, \ldots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ and let A be the Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$. We assume $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$.

By identifying $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ with $\{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$, we regard $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ as a subtorus of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n-r} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$. Then the closure of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ in X_A is nothing but the r-plane $X_{\pi(A)} \subset X_A$ induced by the projection $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ to the second factor. Our aim is to describe $Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$.

Write $A_i = \{u_{i0}, \ldots, u_{iN_i}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ for $N_i = \#A_i - 1$, and set $N = \#A - 1 = \sum_{i=0}^r (N_i + 1) - 1$. Let $\{X_{ij}\}_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i}$ be the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^N$, and let $z_1, \ldots, z_{n-r}, w_1, \ldots, w_r$ be the coordinates of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n-r} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$. Then the embedding $\varphi_A : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n-r} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is defined by $(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-r}, w_1, \ldots, w_r) \mapsto [w_i z^{u_{ij}}]_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i}$, where we set $w_0 = 1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us take a linear polynomial

$$\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} X_{ij} \quad \text{with} \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C},$$

and consider the hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ defined by the polynomial.

Lemma 4.2. *Let H be as above and take $w \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$. Then H is tangent to X_A at $(1_{n-r}, w) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n-r} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ if and only if $(a_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ satisfies the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} = 0 \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant r, \\ &\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r} w_i \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} u_{ij} = 0 \in (\mathbb{Z}^{n-r})_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^{n-r}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. We set $F = \sum a_{ij} X_{ij}$, the defining polynomial of H. Then H is tangent to X_A at $(1_{n-r}, w)$ if and only if

$$f = \partial f/\partial w_i = \partial f/\partial z_l = 0 \text{ at } (1_{n-r}, w) \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n-r, \tag{4.2}$$

where $f := F(\{w_i z^{u_{ij}}\}_{i,j}) = \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} w_i z^{u_{ij}}$.

The condition (4.2) implies (4.1) as follows. Since $\partial f/\partial w_i = 0$ at $(1_{n-r}, w)$, we have $\sum_j a_{ij} = 0$ for $i > 0$. Since $f = 0$ at $(1_{n-r}, w)$, we have $\sum_i w_i \sum_j a_{ij} = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} w_i = 0$, and then $\sum_j a_{0j} = 0$ also holds. Write $u_{ij} = (u_{ij}^1, \ldots, u_{ij}^{n-r}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$. Since $\partial f/\partial z_l = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} w_i u_{ij}^l \cdot (z^{u_{ij}}/z_l) = 0$ at $(1_{n-r}, w)$, we have $\sum_i w_i \sum_j a_{ij} u_{ij}^l = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} w_i u_{ij}^l = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}$ for all $1 \leqslant l \leqslant n-r$. Using a vector expression, we have $\sum_i w_i \sum_j a_{ij} u_{ij} = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n-r}$.

In a similar way, we can show that (4.1) implies (4.2). □

We have the following corollary of Lemma 4.2 as the case $w = 1_r \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the hyperplane defined by $\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} X_{ij} = 0$. Then H is tangent to X_A at $(1_{n-r}, 1_r)$ if and only if $(a_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} = 0 \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant r, \quad \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r} \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} u_{ij} = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-r}. \tag{4.3}$$

Now we assume that the hyperplane H is tangent to X_A at $(1_{n-r}, 1_r)$, i.e., $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ satisfies (4.3). We set

$$m_i = \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} u_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$$

and consider the linear map

$$\xi : \mathbb{C}^{r+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{n-r} \quad : \quad (W_0, \ldots, W_r) \mapsto \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r} W_i m_i.$$

Note that for $\mathbb{P}^r = \mathbb{P}_*(\mathbb{C}^{r+1}) = (\mathbb{C}^{r+1} \backslash \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times$, the torus $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ is embedded in $X_{\pi(A)} = \mathbb{P}^r$ as an open dense subset by $(w_1, \ldots, w_r) \mapsto [1 : w_1 : \cdots : w_r]$.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that H is tangent to X_A at $(1_{n-r}, 1_r)$. Then*

1. *$Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r = \{w = (w_1, \ldots, w_r) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \mid \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r} w_i m_i = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-r}\}$ and the closure is equal to $\mathbb{P}_*(\ker \xi) \subset \mathbb{P}_*(\mathbb{C}^{r+1}) = \mathbb{P}^r$, where $w_0 := 1$.*
2. *$\dim Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r = r - \dim \langle m_0, \ldots, m_r \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\langle m_0, \ldots, m_r \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ is the subspace spanned by m_i's.*

Proof. (1) The first equality follows from (4.1) since $\sum_j a_{ij} = 0$ already follows from (4.3). By the definition of ξ, we have

$$\Big\{ w \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \,\Big|\, \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r} w_i m_i = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-r} \Big\} = \mathbb{P}_*(\ker \xi) \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $1_r \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ is contained in the left hand side of (4.4) by assumption, $\mathbb{P}_*(\ker \xi) \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ is a non-empty open subset of $\mathbb{P}_*(\ker \xi)$. Hence its closure coincides with $\mathbb{P}_*(\ker \xi)$.

(2) By the definition of ξ, $\operatorname{rk} \xi = \dim \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ holds. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r &= \dim \mathbb{P}_*(\ker \xi) \\ &= \dim \ker \xi - 1 \\ &= r + 1 - \operatorname{rk} \xi - 1 = r - \dim \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

by (1). □

In order to clarify the description of the dimension of $Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$ for *general* H, we introduce an invariant α as follows.

Definition 4.5. Let V be a vector space of finite dimension over a field $k = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, and let $V_0, \dots, V_r \subset V$ be subspaces. We set K to be the kernel of the linear map

$$V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r \to V \ : \ (m_0, \dots, m_r) \mapsto m_0 + \cdots + m_r,$$

and define

$$\alpha = \alpha(V_0, \dots, V_r) := \max \{ \dim_k \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_k \,|\, (m_0, \dots, m_r) \in K \} \leqslant r,$$

where $\langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_k \subset V$ is the subspace spanned by $m_0, \dots, m_r \in V$. Since $\dim \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_k$ is a lower semicontinuous function on K in Zariski topology, $\alpha = \dim_k \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_k$ holds for *general* $(m_0, \dots, m_r) \in K$.

Remark 4.6. Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of finite dimension. For subspaces $V_0, \dots, V_r \subset V$, let $(V_0)_{\mathbb{C}}, \dots, (V_r)_{\mathbb{C}} \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces obtained by tensoring $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $\alpha(V_0, \dots, V_r) = \alpha((V_0)_{\mathbb{C}}, \dots, (V_r)_{\mathbb{C}})$ holds. In other words, α does not change by tensoring $\mathbb{C}$. This is because the kernel of

$$(V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r)_{\mathbb{C}} = (V_0)_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \cdots \oplus (V_r)_{\mathbb{C}} \to V_{\mathbb{C}} \ : \ (m_0, \dots, m_r) \mapsto m_0 + \cdots + m_r$$

coincides with $K_{\mathbb{C}}$, and K is dense in $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ in Zariski topology.

Let us return to the original setting. We set

$$X^*_{A,(1_{n-r},1_r)} = \big\{ H \in (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee \,|\, H \text{ is tangent to } X_A \text{ at } (1_{n-r}, 1_r) \big\} \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee,$$

which is a linear subvariety of codimension $n+1$ defined by (4.3).

Proposition 4.7. *Set $V_i = \langle A_i - A_i \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $V := \mathbb{Q}^{n-r}$, and let $K \subset V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$ be the subspace as in Definition 4.5. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the hypersurface defined by $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} X_{ij} = 0$, and assume that $H \in X^*_{A,(1_{n-r},1_r)}$. Set $m_i = \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} u_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$. Then the following holds.*

(i) $m_i \in (V_i)_{\mathbb{C}}$ *and* $(m_0, \dots, m_r)$ *is contained in* $K_{\mathbb{C}}$.
(ii) $(m_0, \dots, m_r)$ *is general in* $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ *if* H *is general in* $X^*_{A,(1_{n-r},1_r)}$.
(iii) $\dim Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r = r - \alpha(V_0 \dots, V_r)$ *for general* $H \in X^*_{A,(1_{n-r},1_r)}$. *In particular, we have* $\delta_{X_A} \geqslant r - \alpha(V_0 \dots, V_r)$.

(iv) *If there exists a surjective group homomorphism* $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$ *such that* $p(A_0) * \cdots * p(A_r) \subset \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$ *is of join type, then we have* $\alpha(V_0 \dots, V_r) \leqslant c$*, and hence* $\delta_{X_A} \geqslant r - c$.

Proof. (i) Since $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ satisfies the condition (4.3), the statement follows.

(ii) Set $L = \{\, (a_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \mid (a_{ij})_{i,j} \text{ satisfies (4.3)} \,\}$, which is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. Then H is general in $X^*_{A,(1_{n-r},1_r)}$ if and only if $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ is general in L. Consider the linear map

$$L \to K_{\mathbb{C}} : (a_{ij})_{i,j} \mapsto (m_0, \dots, m_r) \tag{4.5}$$

defined by $m_i = \sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} u_{ij}$.

Since any element of $(V_i)_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle A_i - A_i \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is written as $\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} u_{ij}$ with $\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_i} a_{ij} = 0$, (4.5) is surjective. Hence $(m_0, \dots, m_r) \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$ is general for general $(a_{ij})_{i,j} \in L$.

(iii) By (ii), it holds that $\dim \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \alpha((V_0)_{\mathbb{C}}, \dots, (V_r)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \alpha(V_0 \dots, V_r)$. Hence $\dim Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r = r - \alpha(V_0 \dots, V_r)$ holds by Lemma 4.4. The last statement follows from $\delta_{X_A} = \dim Z^\circ_{A,H}$ for general H.

(iv) Let $p_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Q}^{n-r-c}$ be the surjective linear map induced by p. Since $p(A_0) * \cdots * p(A_r) \subset \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$ is of join type,

$$\langle p(A_0) - p(A_0) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} + \cdots + \langle p(A_r) - p(A_r) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{n-r-c} \tag{4.6}$$

is the inner direct sum of $\langle p(A_0) - p(A_0) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, \langle p(A_r) - p(A_r) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Take $(v_0, \dots, v_r) \in K$. Then $p_{\mathbb{Q}}(v_i)$ is contained in $p_{\mathbb{Q}}(V_i) = \langle p(A_i) - p(A_i) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Furthermore, it follows from $\sum_{i=0}^r v_i = 0$ that $\sum_{i=0}^r p_{\mathbb{Q}}(v_i) = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}^{n-r-c}$. Since (4.6) is the inner direct sum, we have $p_{\mathbb{Q}}(v_i) = 0$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. Hence $v_i \in \ker p_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and

$$\dim \langle v_0, \dots, v_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \ker p_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^c,$$

which implies $\alpha(V_0, \dots, V_r) \leqslant c$. □

We will use the following lemma about the invariant α in the next section.

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $V_0, \dots, V_r$ *and* K *be as in Definition 4.5. Assume that the following condition is satisfied.*

(∗) **:** *for general* $(m_0, \dots, m_r) \in K$ *and for any two integers* i, j *with* $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant r$*, it holds that*

$$\langle m_l \,|\, 0 \leqslant l \leqslant r, l \neq i, j \rangle_k = \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_k.$$

In other words, we assume that for general $(m_0, \dots, m_r) \in K$*, even if any two of* $m_0, \dots, m_r$ *are removed, the rest* m_l*'s still span the original space* $\langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_k$.

Then there exists an $\alpha(V_0, \dots, V_r)$*-dimensional subspace* $V' \subset V$ *such that*

(1) *for the canonical quotient map* $q : V \to V/V'$*, the subspace* $q(V_0) + \cdots + q(V_r) \subset V/V'$ *is the inner direct sum of* $q(V_0), \dots, q(V_r)$*,*
(2) *if* $\tilde{q} : V \to \tilde{V}$ *is a linear map and* $\tilde{q}(V_0) + \cdots + \tilde{q}(V_r) \subset \tilde{V}$ *is the inner direct sum of* $\tilde{q}(V_i)$*'s, it holds that* $V' \subset \ker \tilde{q}$.

Proof. Set $\alpha = \alpha(V_0, \dots, V_r)$. Fix a general element $(m_0, \dots, m_r) \in K$ and set $V' = \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_k \subset V$. By the definition of $\alpha(V_0, \dots, V_r)$, we have $\dim V' = \alpha$.

For any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, taking $j = 0$ in (∗), we have

$$\langle m_l \mid 1 \leqslant l \leqslant r, l \neq i \rangle_k = \langle m_0, \dots, m_r \rangle_k.$$

In particular, $m_i \in \langle m_l \mid 1 \leqslant l \leqslant r, l \neq i \rangle_k$, i.e., m_i is written as a linear combination of m_l's with $l \neq 0, i$. Hence we can find $b_{i1}, \ldots, b_{ir} \in k$ with $b_{ii} = 1$ such that $\sum_{1 \leqslant l \leqslant r} b_{il} m_l = 0$.

Fix general $(t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in k^r$ and set $\tilde{m}_l = (\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} t_i b_{il}) m_l \in V_l$ for $1 \leqslant l \leqslant r$. Since

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant l \leqslant r} \tilde{m}_l = \sum_{1 \leqslant l \leqslant r} \left(\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} t_i b_{il} \right) m_l = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} t_i \sum_{1 \leqslant l \leqslant r} b_{il} m_l = 0,$$

we have $(0, \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r) \in K$. Since $b_{ii} = 1 \neq 0$ and $(t_1, \ldots, t_r)$ is general, $\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} t_i b_{il} \neq 0$ holds for any l. Hence we have $\langle \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r \rangle_k = \langle m_1, \ldots, m_r \rangle_k = V'$, where the second equality holds since $m_0 = -\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} m_i$ by the definition of K.

Claim 4.9. *For any $(m'_0, \ldots, m'_r) \in K$, each m'_i is contained in V'.*

Proof of Claim 4.9. It is enough to show that $m'_0 \in V'$.

We take $\tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r$ as above. Since $(0, \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r), (m'_0, m'_1, \ldots, m'_r) \in K$, we have

$$(sm'_0, \tilde{m}_1 + sm'_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r + sm'_r) \in K \tag{4.7}$$

for any $s \in k$. Let us consider

$$h(s) := \dim \langle m'_0, \tilde{m}_1 + sm'_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r + sm'_r \rangle_k,$$

which is equal to the dimension of $\langle sm'_0, \tilde{m}_1 + sm'_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r + sm'_r \rangle_k$ if $s \neq 0$. Then $h(s) \leqslant \alpha$ holds for any $s \neq 0$ because of (4.7) and the definition of α. Since $h(s)$ is lower semicontinuous as a function of $s \in k$, we have $h(0) \leqslant \alpha$, that is, the dimension of $\langle m'_0, \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r \rangle_k$ is at most α as well.

On the other hand, the dimension of $\langle \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r \rangle_k = V'$ is α. Hence m'_0 must be contained in $\langle \tilde{m}_1, \ldots, \tilde{m}_r \rangle_k = V'$. □

We show that this V' satisfies the conditions (1), (2) in this lemma.

To see (1), take $v_i \in V_i$ and assume that $q(v_0) + \cdots + q(v_r) = 0 \in V/V'$. Then $v_0 + \cdots + v_r \in V' = \langle m_0, \ldots, m_r \rangle_k$. Hence $v_0 + \cdots + v_r = \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r} c_i m_i$ holds for some $c_i \in k$. This means $(v_0 - c_0 m_0, \ldots, v_r - c_r m_r) \in K$. By Claim 4.9, $v_i - c_i m_i \in V'$ holds. Since $m_i \in V'$, we have $v_i \in V'$ and hence $q(v_i) = 0 \in V/V'$. Thus $q(V_0) + \cdots + q(V_r) \subset V/V'$ is the inner direct sum of $q(V_i)$'s.

To check (2), let $\tilde{q}$ be a linear map as in (2). Since $\sum_{i=0}^{r} m_i = 0 \in V$, it holds that $\sum_{i=0}^{r} \tilde{q}(m_i) = 0 \in \tilde{V}$. Hence we have $\tilde{q}(m_i) = 0$ for any i since $\tilde{q}(m_i) \in \tilde{q}(V_i)$ and $\tilde{q}(V_0) + \cdots + \tilde{q}(V_r) \subset \tilde{V}$ is the inner direct sum. Thus $m_i \in \ker \tilde{q}$ and $V' = \langle m_0, \ldots, m_r \rangle_k$ is contained in $\ker \tilde{q}$. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we prove our main theorem:

Theorem 5.1 (= Theorem 1.3)**.** *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then there exist finite subsets $A_0, \ldots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ and a surjective group homomorphism $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$ for some non-negative integers r, c such that the following three conditions are satisfied;*

(a) $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to the Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$,
(b) $p(A_0) * \cdots * p(A_r) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is of join type,
(c) the dual defect $\delta_{X_A} = r - c$.

First of all, let us see an example.

Example 5.2. Let $A = \{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then the dual defect of $X_A = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is 0. Hence $r = c = 0$, $A_0 = A$, and $p = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ satisfy (a), (b), (c). On the other hand, $r = c = 1$, $A'_0 = A'_1 = \{0,1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^1$, and $p' : \mathbb{Z}^1 \to \mathbb{Z}^0 = \{0\}$ also satisfy (a), (b), (c).

From this example, we see that A_i and p which satisfy (a), (b), (c) are not unique in general. To modify such situation, we add one more condition to Theorem 1.3. We use the following notation.

Definition 5.3. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ be a surjective group homomorphism such that $\pi(A)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$.

We say that A is *of join type with respect to* π if the Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ obtained in Lemma 2.2 is of join type. This is equivalent to the condition that the subspace $\sum_{i=0}^r M_i \subset \ker \pi$ is the inner direct sum of M_i 's, where $\pi(A) = \{u'_0, \ldots, u'_r\}$ and

$$M_i := \langle \pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A - \pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A \rangle.$$

We note that $M_i \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is contained in $\ker \pi$ even though $\pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A$ is not contained in $\ker \pi$ in general.

We will prove the following theorem which is a refined version of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then there exists surjective group homomorphisms $\pi_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c}$ and $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some non-negative integers r, c such that*

(1) $\pi_2(\pi_1(A))$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\{0, e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$,
(2) $\pi_1(A) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-c}$ is of join type with respect to π_2,
(3) $\delta_{X_A} = r - c$,
(4) if surjective group homomorphisms $\pi'_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'}$ and $\pi'_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'} \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ for some $r', c' \geqslant 0$ also satisfy (1), (2), (3), it holds that

$$\ker \pi_1 \subset \ker \pi'_1 \subset \ker(\pi'_2 \circ \pi'_1) \subset \ker(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

In other words, it holds that $X_{\pi_2 \circ \pi_1(A)} \subset X_{\pi'_2 \circ \pi'_1(A)} \subset X_{\pi'_1(A)} \subset X_{\pi_1(A)} \subset X_A$ as subvarieties of X_A.

The conditions (1), (2), (3) correspond to (a), (b), (c) in Theorem 1.3 respectively. We add the condition (4), from which the subvarieties $X_{\pi_2 \circ \pi_1(A)} \subset X_{\pi_1(A)} \subset X_A$ are uniquely determined by A.

For example, we can take $\pi_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ and the zero map $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^0$ with $r = c = 0$ for A in Example 5.2. In particular, we have $X_{\pi_2 \circ \pi_1(A)} = \{1_2\} \subset X_{\pi_1(A)} = X_A$.

Once Theorem 5.4 is proved, we can show Theorem 1.3 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We take π_1 and π_2 as in Theorem 5.4. Set $\pi = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1$. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$, we have finite subsets $A_0, \ldots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ such that A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$.

Recall the construction of A_i. We take a section $s : \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ of $0 \to \ker \pi \to \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}^r \to 0$ and set

$$A_i = (\pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A) - s(u'_i) \subset \ker \pi \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-r},$$

where $\pi(A) = \{u'_0, \dots, u'_r\}$.

Since $\pi_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c}$ is surjective, the restriction of π_1 on $\ker \pi$ gives a surjective group homomorphism $\pi_1|_{\ker \pi} : \ker \pi \to \ker \pi_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-c-r}$. By the definition of A_i,

$$\pi_1(A_i) = ({\pi_2}^{-1}(u'_i) \cap \pi_1(A)) - \pi_1(s(u'_i)) \subset \ker \pi_2$$

holds. Again, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\pi_1(A)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\pi_1(A_0) * \cdots * \pi_1(A_r)$ since $\pi_1 \circ s$ is a section of $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$. By the condition (2), $\pi_1(A_0) * \cdots * \pi_1(A_r)$ is of join type. Hence $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ and $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \simeq \ker \pi \xrightarrow{\pi_1|_{\ker \pi}} \ker \pi_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-c-r}$ satisfy (a) and (b).

The equality (c) in Theorem 1.3 is the same as (3) in Theorem 5.4. □

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 5.4.** Now we focus on Theorem 5.4 and give a proof in this subsection. Throughout this subsection, we fix a finite set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

If $\delta_{X_A} = 0$, $\pi_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ and the zero homomorphism $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^0$ for $r = c = 0$ satisfy (1)-(4) in Theorem 5.4 since $\ker \pi_1 = \{0\}$ and $\ker(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1) = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence we assume $\delta_{X_A} > 0$ in the rest of this subsection.

First, we construct a surjective group homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$. Next, we decompose π into $\pi_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c}$ and $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ as in the statement of Theorem 5.4.

Lemma 5.5. *There exists a surjective group homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ for some r such that the following conditions hold;*

- *(i) $\pi(A)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\{0, e_1, \dots, e_r\}$,*
- *(ii) for a general hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ which is tangent to X_A at 1_n,*
  - *(ii-1) the contact locus $Z_H = \overline{\{x \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \subset X_A \,|\, H \text{ is tangent to } X_A \text{ at } x\}}$ is contained in the r-plane $X_{\pi(A)} \subset X_A$,*
  - *(ii-2) $\overline{H}_i|_{Z_H} \neq \overline{H}_j|_{Z_H}$ for $i \neq j$, where $\overline{H}_0, \dots, \overline{H}_r$ are the torus invariant hyperplanes of $X_{\pi(A)} \simeq \mathbb{P}^r$,*
  - *(ii-3) if $\pi' : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ satisfies (i) and (ii-1), $X_{\pi(A)} \subset X_{\pi'(A)}$ holds.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ be a surjective group homomorphism which satisfies (i). Then $\ker \pi$ is generated by $\{u - u' \,|\, \pi(u) = \pi(u')\}$. Hence $\ker \pi$ is uniquely determined by the decomposition

$$A = (\pi^{-1}(u'_0) \cap A) \sqcup (\pi^{-1}(u'_1) \cap A) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup (\pi^{-1}(u'_r) \cap A), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\pi(A) = \{u'_0, \dots, u'_r\}$. Thus as a subvariety, the r-plane $X_{\pi(A)} \subset X_A$ is uniquely determined by the decomposition (5.1).

Since there are at most finitely many decompositions of A into $r+1$ subsets for $0 \leqslant r \leqslant n$, the following set of subvarieties of X_A

$$\{\Lambda \subset X_A \,|\, \Lambda = X_{\pi(A)} \text{ for some } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant n,\ \pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r \text{ which satisfies (i)}\} \tag{5.2}$$

is a finite set.

Let $X^*_{A,1_n} \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ be the set of hyperplanes which are tangent to X_A at 1_n. For general $H \in X^*_{A,1_n}$, Z_H is a δ_{X_A}-plane which contains 1_n. Applying Corollary 3.7 to Z_H, we have a surjective group homomorphism $\pi_H : M \to \mathbb{Z}^{r_H}$ as in the statement of Corollary 3.7.

By Corollary 3.7, $X_{\pi_H(A)}$ is the minimum subvariety containing Z_H in (5.2). Since (5.2) is a finite set, $X_{\pi_H(A)}$ does not depend on the choice of general $H \in X^*_{A,1_n}$, i.e., there exists $\Lambda = X_{\pi(A)}$ in (5.2) for some $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $\Lambda = X_{\pi_H(A)}$ holds

for general H in $X^*_{A,1_n}$. This π satisfies (i), (ii-1), and (ii-3). By Lemma 3.9, (ii-2) follows. □

Let $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ be the surjective group homomorphism obtained by Lemma 5.5. Set $V := (\ker \pi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{n-r}$. For $\pi(A) = \{u'_0, \dots, u'_r\}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} M_i &:= \langle \pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A - \pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A \rangle \subset \ker \pi, \\ V_i &:= (M_i)_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset V. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Assume that π is the composite of two surjective group homomorphisms $\pi_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c}$ and $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ for an integer $c \geqslant 0$. By definition, $\pi_1(A)$ is of join type with respect to π_2 if and only if the subspace $\sum_{i=0}^r M_i^{\pi_2} \subset \ker \pi_2$ is the inner direct sum of $M_i^{\pi_2}$'s, where

$$M_i^{\pi_2} := \langle {\pi_2}^{-1}(u'_i) \cap \pi_1(A) - {\pi_2}^{-1}(u'_i) \cap \pi_1(A) \rangle \subset \ker \pi_2.$$

Since $\pi_1(\pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A) = {\pi_2}^{-1}(u'_i) \cap \pi_1(A)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(M_i) &= \langle \pi_1(\pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A) - \pi_1(\pi^{-1}(u'_i) \cap A) \rangle \\ &= \langle {\pi_2}^{-1}(u'_i) \cap \pi_1(A) - {\pi_2}^{-1}(u'_i) \cap \pi_1(A) \rangle = M_i^{\pi_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi_1(A)$ is of join type with respect to π_2 if and only if $\pi_1(M_0) + \cdots + \pi_1(M_r) \subset \ker \pi_2$ is the inner direct sum of $\pi_1(M_i)$'s. This is also equivalent to the condition that $\pi_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_0) + \cdots + \pi_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_r) \subset (\ker \pi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the inner direct sum of $\pi_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_i)$'s for the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\pi_{1\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q}^n \to \mathbb{Q}^{n-c}$ obtained from π_1 since $\pi_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_i) = \pi_1(M_i)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let us construct homomorphisms π_1 and π_2 in Theorem 5.4.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ be the surjective group homomorphism obtained by Lemma 5.5. Let V_i as* (5.3) *and set $c = \alpha(V_0, \dots, V_r)$. Then there exist surjective group homomorphisms $\pi_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c}$ and $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that*

- *(i) $\pi = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1$,*
- *(ii) $\pi_1(A)$ is of join type with respect to π_2,*
- *(iii) if (i) and (ii) are satisfied for $\tilde{\pi}_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-\tilde{c}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-\tilde{c}} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$, it holds that $\ker \pi_1 \subset \ker \tilde{\pi}_1$.*

In addition, we have $\delta_{X_A} = r - c$.

Proof. First we assume that $V_0, \dots, V_r$ satisfy the condition $(*)$ in Lemma 4.8 and construct π_1 and π_2 which satisfy (i), (ii), (iii).

Since we assume the condition $(*)$, we can apply Lemma 4.8 to V_i's and obtain a subspace $V' \subset V$. Since $V' \subset V = (\ker \pi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset (\mathbb{Z}^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a c-dimensional subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $M' := \mathbb{Z}^n/(V' \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$ is a free abelian group of rank $n - c$. We fix a group isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^{n-c} \simeq M'$ and set $\pi_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to M' \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ to be the canonical quotient homomorphism. By construction, $\ker \pi_1 = V' \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \ker \pi$ holds. Hence $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ factors π_1 as

$$\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathbb{Z}^{n-c} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathbb{Z}^r$$

with a surjective group homomorphism π_2. Then (i) holds for these π_1 and π_2.

Since V' is obtained by Lemma 4.8,

$$\pi_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_0) + \cdots + \pi_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_r) \subset (\ker \pi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \pi_1(\ker \pi)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq V/V'$$

is the inner direct sum of $\pi_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_0), \dots, \pi_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_r)$. Hence $\pi_1(A)$ is of join type with respect to π_2, that is, (ii) follows.

If (i) and (ii) are satisfied for $\tilde{\pi}_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-\tilde{c}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-\tilde{c}} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$, $\tilde{\pi}_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_0) + \cdots + \tilde{\pi}_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_r) \subset (\ker \tilde{\pi}_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the inner direct sum of $\tilde{\pi}_{1\mathbb{Q}}(V_i)$'s. By (2) in Lemma 4.8, we have $(\ker \pi_1)_{\mathbb{Q}} = V' \subset (\ker \tilde{\pi}_1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Hence $\ker \pi_1 \subset \ker \tilde{\pi}_1$ holds and (iii) follows.

To show that $V_0, \ldots, V_r$ satisfy the condition $(*)$ in Lemma 4.8, let $A_0, \ldots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ be finite subsets obtained by applying Lemma 2.2 to π. Under a suitable group isomorphism $\ker \pi \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$, the subspace $V_i \subset (\ker \pi)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ coincides with $\langle A_i - A_i \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset (\mathbb{Z}^{n-r})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}^{n-r}$ for any $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. Hence $V_0, \ldots, V_r$ satisfy the assumption in Lemma 4.8 if and only if so do $\langle A_0 - A_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}, \ldots, \langle A_r - A_r \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus in the rest of the proof of this lemma, we may assume that $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ for these A_i's with $V_i = \langle A_i - A_i \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ is the projection to the second factor.

We use the notation of Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.7. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a general hyperplane which is tangent to X_A at 1_n. To simplify notation, we abbreviate $Z^\circ_{A,H}, Z_{A,H}$ to Z°_H, Z_H respectively. By Lemma 5.5, $Z_H \subset X_{\pi(A)}$ holds. Since $X_{\pi(A)} = \overline{(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r} \subset X_A$ under the identification $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \simeq \{1_{n-r}\} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \subset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n-r} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$, we have

$$Z^\circ_H = Z^\circ_H \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r = \left\{ w \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \,\middle|\, \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r} w_i m_i = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-r} \right\}$$

by Lemma 4.4. Since Z_H is the closure of Z°_H, it holds that

$$Z_H = \left\{ [W_0 : \cdots : W_r] \in \mathbb{P}^r = X_{\pi(A)} \,\middle|\, \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant r} W_i m_i = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-r} \right\}. \tag{5.4}$$

Then we have

$$\delta_{X_A} = \dim Z_H = r - \dim \langle m_0, \ldots, m_r \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = r - c, \tag{5.5}$$

where the first equality follows from the definition of the dual defect, the second equality follows from (5.4), and the last equality holds since $(m_0, \ldots, m_r) \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$ is general by Proposition 4.7 and $c = \alpha(V_0, \ldots, V_r)$.

Let $\overline{H}_i \subset X_{\pi(A)} = \mathbb{P}^r$ be the torus invariant hyperplane defined by $W_i = 0$. For any two distinct integers i, j with $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant r$, it holds that $\overline{H}_i|_{Z_H} \neq \overline{H}_j|_{Z_H}$ by Lemma 5.5 (2-ii). Since $\overline{H}_i|_{Z_H}, \overline{H}_j|_{Z_H}$ are hyperplanes of $Z_H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\delta_{X_A}}$,

$$\dim \overline{H}_i|_{Z_H} \cap \overline{H}_j|_{Z_H} = \dim Z_H - 2 = r - \dim \langle m_0, \ldots, m_r \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} - 2,$$

where we set $\dim \varnothing = -1$. On the other hand,

$$\dim \overline{H}_i|_{Z_H} \cap \overline{H}_j|_{Z_H} = r - 2 - \dim \langle m_l \,|\, 0 \leqslant l \leqslant r, l \neq i, j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

holds since $\overline{H}_i|_{Z_H} \cap \overline{H}_j|_{Z_H} \subset \overline{H}_i \cap \overline{H}_j \simeq \mathbb{P}^{r-2}$ is defined by $\sum_{l \neq i,j} W_l m_l = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$. Hence we have $\dim \langle m_0, \ldots, m_r \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \dim \langle m_l \,|\, 0 \leqslant l \leqslant r, l \neq i, j \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus $(V_0)_{\mathbb{C}}, \ldots, (V_r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfy the condition $(*)$ in Lemma 4.8.

By a similar argument as Remark 4.6, $V_0, \ldots, V_r$ also satisfy the condition $(*)$ in Lemma 4.8. Hence this lemma is proved. We note that last statement of this lemma is already proved in (5.5). □

Now we can prove that the above π_1 and π_2 satisfy (1)-(4) in Theorem 5.4.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Let π_1 and π_2 be the homomorphisms constructed in Lemma 5.6. Since $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1 = \pi$, the conditions (1) and (2) follows from (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.6. (3) follows from the last statement of Lemma 5.6.

To check (4), we show the following claim.

Claim 5.7. *If surjective group homomorphisms $\pi'_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'}$ and $\pi'_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'} \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ satisfy (1), (2), (3) in Theorem 5.4, the contact locus $Z_{A,H}$ is contained in the r'-plane $X_{\pi'_2\circ\pi'_1(A)} \subset X_A$ for a general hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ which is tangent to X_A at 1_n.*

Proof of Claim 5.7. Let $A'_0, \dots, A'_{r'} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r'}$ be finite subsets obtained by applying Lemma 2.2 to $\pi'_2 \circ \pi'_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$. In particular, $X_{\pi'_2\circ\pi'_1(A)}$ coincides with $X_{\{0,e_1,\dots,e_{r'}\}}$ under the identification $X_A = X_{A'_0 * \cdots * A'_{r'}}$

Applying Proposition 4.7 (iv) to $A'_0 * \cdots * A'_{r'}$, it holds that

$$\delta_{X_A} = \dim Z_{A,H} \geqslant \dim Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r'} \geqslant r' - c' = \delta_{X_A},$$

where the last equality follows from the condition (3) for π'_1, π'_2. Hence $Z_{A,H}$ coincides with the closure of $Z^\circ_{A,H} \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r'}$. Thus $Z_{A,H}$ is contained in the closure of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r'}$, which is nothing but the r'-plane $X_{\pi'_2\circ\pi'_1(A)}$. □

Take surjective group homomorphisms $\pi'_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'}$ and $\pi'_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'} \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ for some $r', c' \geqslant 0$ which satisfy (1), (2), (3) in Theorem 5.4. By Claim 5.7, $\pi' := \pi'_2 \circ \pi'_1$ satisfies (i) and (ii-1) in Lemma 5.5. Hence $X_{\pi(A)} \subset X_{\pi'(A)}$ holds by Lemma 5.5 (ii-3). Thus $\ker(\pi'_2 \circ \pi'_1) = \ker \pi' \subset \ker \pi = \ker(\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)$ holds and $\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ factors π' as

$$\pi : \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\pi'} \mathbb{Z}^{r'} \xrightarrow{\varpi} \mathbb{Z}^r$$

for some ϖ.

Since $\ker \pi'_1 \subset \ker(\pi'_2 \circ \pi'_1) = \ker \pi'$, the rest is to show $\ker \pi_1 \subset \ker \pi'_1$. Consider

$$\mathbb{Z}^{n-c'} \xrightarrow{\pi'_2} \mathbb{Z}^{r'} \xrightarrow{\varpi} \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

Since $\pi'_1(A) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'}$ is of join type with respect to π'_2 by (2), $\pi'_1(A)$ is of join type with respect to $\varpi \circ \pi'_2$ by Lemma 2.4. By (iii) in Lemma 5.6 for $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \pi'_1 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_2 = \varpi \circ \pi'_2 : \mathbb{Z}^{n-c'} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$, we have $\ker \pi_1 \subset \ker \tilde{\pi}_1 = \ker \pi'_1$. Thus (4) follows. □

5.2. **Examples.** In the following examples, we assume that $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ spans $\mathbb{Z}^n$ as an affine lattice.

Example 5.8. If $\delta_{X_A} = 0$, we can take $\pi_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^n} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^0$ for $r = c = 0$ as we have already seen in §5.1.

As A_i and p in Theorem 1.3, we can take $r = c = 0$, $A_0 = A$, and $p = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ since A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to the Cayley sum $A \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^0$ of join type.

Example 5.9. Let $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ be finite sets and set $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$. It is known that X_A is dual defective if $2r - n > 0$ (see [Pi, Section 7] for example).

This can be checked by Proposition 4.7 (iv) for $c = n - r$ and the zero map $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Z}^0 = \{0\}$ since $r - c = 2r - n$ and

$$p(A_0) * \cdots * p(A_r) = \{0\} \times \{0, e_1, \dots, e_r\} \subset \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

is of join type.

Example 5.10. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^3$ be the Cayley sum of $A_0, \dots, A_3 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ for

$$A_0 = \{(0,0),(1,0),(2,0)\}, \quad A_1 = \{(0,0),(0,1),(0,2)\},$$
$$A_2 = A_3 = \{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}.$$

If there exists a surjective group homomorphism $\pi' : \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z}^{r'}$ such that $\pi'(A)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to $\{0, e_1, \dots, e_{r'}\}$, then $\pi'(A_0 \times \{0\})$ consists of one point. In fact, for $l = 0,1,2$ and $\bar{u}_l = \pi'((l,0),0) \in \pi'(A_0 \times \{0\})$, it holds that $\bar{u}_0 - 2\bar{u}_1 + \bar{u}_2 = 0$. Since $\pi'(A)$ consists from $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely independent $r'+1$ points, $\bar{u}_0 = \bar{u}_1 = \bar{u}_2$ must hold. Similarly, $\pi'(A_1 \times \{e_1\})$ consists of one point as well. Hence π' factors through the projection $\mathrm{pr} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z}^3$ to the second factor (we use the notation pr to distinguish $\pi = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ in the proof of Theorem 5.4, although we will see $\mathrm{pr} = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ in the following paragraphs).

Take π_1, π_2 in Theorem 5.4 for this A. By the previous paragraph, $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ factors through the projection $\mathrm{pr} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z}^3$. In fact, we can take $\pi_1 = \mathrm{pr}$ and $\pi_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}$ with$(r,c) = (3,2)$, and hence $\delta_{X_A} = 3 - 2 = 1$. The reason is as follows.

By the construction of π_1, π_2, Z_H is contained in $X_{\pi_2 \circ \pi_1(A)}$ for general H which is tangent to X_A at $1_5 = (1_2, 1_3)$. Since $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$ factors through pr, we have $X_{\pi_2 \circ \pi_1(A)} \subset X_{\mathrm{pr}(A)}$. Hence Z_H is contained in $X_{\mathrm{pr}(A)} \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$. Applying Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.7 to $A_0 * A_1 * A_2 * A_3$, we have the following description of $Z_H = \overline{Z_H^\circ \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3}$: Since $V_0 = \mathbb{Q}(1,0), V_1 = \mathbb{Q}(0,1), V_2 = V_3 = \mathbb{Q}^2$, $(m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3) \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$ induced by H is written as $((-a-c,0),(0,-b-d),(a,b),(c,d))$ for general $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$Z_H = \left\{ [W_0 : W_1 : W_2 : W_3] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \,|\, W_0 m_0 + W_1 m_1 + W_2 m_2 + W_3 m_3 = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

is the line defined by $(-a-c)W_0 + aW_2 + cW_3 = (-b-d)W_1 + bW_2 + dW_3 = 0$. Since Z_H is contained in $X_{\pi_2 \circ \pi_1(A)} \subset X_{\pi(A)} = \mathbb{P}^3$ for any general a, b, c, d, it must hold that $X_{\pi_2 \circ \pi_1(A)} = X_{\mathrm{pr}(A)}$, i.e., $\ker \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 = \ker \mathrm{pr}$. Hence we may assume $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1 = \mathrm{pr}$. For these V_i's, we have $\alpha = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \langle (-a-c,0),(0,-b-d),(a,b),(c,d) \rangle = 2$. This means that $c = \mathrm{rk} \ker \pi_1 = 2$. Since $\ker \pi_1 \subset \ker \pi_2 \circ \pi_1 = \ker \mathrm{pr} = \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{0\}$, we have $\ker \pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{0\}$. Thus we can take $\mathrm{pr} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{Z}^3$ as π_1 and hence $\pi_2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}$.

We will see this example again in the next section.

Example 5.11. In Example 5.8 (resp. Example 5.10), π_2 is the zero (resp. the identity) homomorphism.

As an example with $\pi_2 \neq 0, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^r}$, we consider

$$A = \{0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_4, e_5, e_6, u, v\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^6,$$

where $u = (-1,2,0,0,-2,1), v = (0,0,-1,2,-2,1)$. We note that this example is nothing but Example 6.4 in [BDR] up to a change of indices of $e_1, \dots, e_6$ (see also [DN, Section 4]). By [BDR, Example 6.4], $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ is strongly self dual, i.e., $X_A = X_A^*$ holds in a suitable sense (see [BDR] for self duality). In particular, we have $\delta_{X_A} = 1$.

We define $\mathbb{Z}^6 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathbb{Z}^5 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathbb{Z}^2$ by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_6) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 + 2x_6) \mapsto (x_1 + x_2, x_3 + x_4).$$

For $\pi := \pi_2 \circ \pi_1$, we have $\pi(A) = \{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1)\}$. Let $A^0, A^1, A^2 \subset A$ be the fibers of $\pi|_A$ over $(0,0),(1,0),(0,1)$ respectively. Then

$$A^0 = \{0, e_5, e_6\}, \quad A^1 = \{e_1, e_2, u\}, \quad A^2 = \{e_3, e_4, v\}.$$

For the standard basis $f_1, \dots, f_5$ of $\mathbb{Z}^5$, it holds that

$$\pi_1(A^0) = \{0, f_5, 2f_5\}, \quad \pi_1(A^1) = \{f_1, f_2, -f_1 + 2f_2\}, \quad \pi_1(A^2) = \{f_3, f_4, -f_3 + 2f_4\}$$

and hence

$$\langle \pi_1(A^0) - \pi_1(A^0) \rangle = \langle f_5 \rangle, \langle \pi_1(A^1) - \pi_1(A^1) \rangle = \langle f_2 - f_1 \rangle, \langle \pi_1(A^2) - \pi_1(A^2) \rangle = \langle f_4 - f_3 \rangle.$$

Since $\langle f_5 \rangle + \langle f_2 - f_1 \rangle + \langle f_4 - f_3 \rangle \subset \ker \pi_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^5$ is the inner direct sum, $\pi_1(A)$ is of join type with respect to π_2. Hence π_1, π_2 satisfy conditions (1), (2) in Theorem 5.4. The condition (3) also holds since $r = 2, c = 1$ and $\delta_{X_A} = 1$. If the condition (4) is not satisfied for these π_1, π_2, there exists surjective group homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}^6 \to \mathbb{Z}^{6-r}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{6-c} \to \mathbb{Z}^r$ for $r = 1, c = 0$ which satisfy (1), (2). This means that A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to a Cayley sum $B_0 * B_1$ of join type. We can check that there are no such B_i's, and hence the condition (4) is satisfied for these π_1, π_2.

6. Geometric description

In this section, we interpret Theorem 1.3 geometrically.

6.1. **Joins and toric varieties.** Let $X_0, \dots, X_r \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be projective varieties such that $\overline{x_0 \dots x_r}$ is r-dimensional for general $(x_0, \dots, x_r) \in X_0 \times \cdots \times X_r$, where $\overline{x_0 \dots x_r} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is the linear subvariety spanned by $r+1$ points $x_0, \dots, x_r$ (in this paper, we always assume this condition when we consider joins of varieties).

The *join* $J(X_0, \dots, X_r) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ of $X_0, \dots, X_r \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is defined to be

$$J(X_0, \dots, X_r) = \bigcup_{x_0 \in X_0, \dots, x_r \in X_r} \overline{x_0 \dots x_r} \subset \mathbb{P}^N,$$

where we have $\dim J(X_0, \dots, X_r) \leqslant r + \sum_{i=0}^r \dim X_i$ and $\delta_{J(X_0,\dots,X_r)} \geqslant r$.

Now we consider a Cayley sum of join type defined in Definition 1.2. It indeed gives a join of toric varieties. Moreover, it has the following simple structure.

Let $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ be finite sets and let $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ be the Cayley sum. We set $\Lambda_i = \mathbb{C}^{\#A_i}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{C}^{\#A} = \Lambda_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda_r$. Then we have toric varieties $X_{A_i} \subset \mathbb{P}_*(\Lambda_i) \subset \mathbb{P}_*(\Lambda)$. We note that $X_{A_i} \subset \mathbb{P}_*(\Lambda)$ coincides with the torus invariant subvariety of $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}_*(\Lambda)$ corresponding to the face $\mathrm{Conv}(A_i \times \{e_i\})$ of $\mathrm{Conv}(A)$.

Consider the join

$$J(X_{A_0}, \dots, X_{A_r}) \subset \mathbb{P}_*(\Lambda) = \mathbb{P}_*(\Lambda_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda_r).$$

The structure of this join is simple; for example, $\dim J(X_{A_0}, \dots, X_{A_r}) = r + \sum_{i=0}^r \dim(X_{A_i})$ and $X_{A_i} \cap X_{A_j} = \varnothing$ if $i \neq j$. The following equality also holds.

Lemma 6.1. *In the above setting,* $\delta_{J(X_{A_0},\dots,X_{A_r})} = r + \sum_{i=0}^r \delta_{X_{A_i}}$.

Proof. Consider the case of $r = 1$. Write $X := X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ and $X_i := X_{A_i} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. For a general hyperplane H which is tangent to X, the contact locus $Z_{X,H}$ coincides with the join $J(Z_{X_0,H}, Z_{X_1,H})$ due to Terracini's lemma. Hence $\delta_X = \delta_{X_0} + \delta_{X_1} + 1$ holds. Inductively, we have the assertion. □

The following lemma gives a geometric meaning of Cayley sums of join type.

Lemma 6.2 ([FI, Lemma 4.10])**.** *Let $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ be finite sets and let $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ be the Cayley sum. Then $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}_*(\Lambda)$ is contained in $J(X_{A_0}, \dots, X_{A_r})$, and $X_A = J(X_{A_0}, \dots, X_{A_r})$ holds if and only if the Cayley sum $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ is of join type.*

If a toric variety X_A is a join of some (not necessarily toric) varieties, then A is in fact a Cayley sum of join type, and hence X_A has the above structure. This follows from the following result. (Since the statement of [FI, Corollary 4.14] is not so clear, we restate it.)

Lemma 6.3. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite set with $\langle A - A \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Assume that the toric variety $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a join of $l+1$ projective varieties $X_0, \dots, X_l$ (as we noted in the first paragraph of this subsection, we assume that $\dim \overline{x_0 \cdots x_l} = l$ holds for general $(x_0, \dots, x_l) \in X_0 \times \cdots \times X_l$). Then there exist finite subsets $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ with $r \geqslant l$ such that A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to the Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$, which is of join type.*

Proof. Let $(x_0, \dots, x_l) \in X_0 \times \cdots \times X_l$ be general. By Terracini's lemma, the embedded tangent space $\mathbb{T}_x X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is constant on $x \in \overline{x_0 \cdots x_l} \cap X_{sm}$. Hence the Gauss defect $r := \delta_\gamma(X_A) \geqslant l$ (see [FI] for the definition of Gauss defects). Then A is $\mathbb{Z}$-affinely equivalent to a Cayley sum $A_0 * \cdots * A_r$ of join type for some $A_0, \dots, A_r$ by [FI, Corollary 4.13]. □

6.2. **Geometric description of dual defects of toric varieties.** We denote by $C(X) \subset X \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ the closure of $\{\, (x, H) \in X_{sm} \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee \mid \mathbb{T}_x X \subset H \,\}$, which is called the *conormal variety* of X. Set pr_i to be the projection from $C(X)$ to the i-th factor for $i = 1, 2$. By definition, $\mathrm{pr}_2(C(X)) \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ is the dual variety X^* of X. We note that $\mathrm{pr}_1(\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H)) \subset X$ is nothing but the contact locus Z_H of H on X for general $H \in X^*$. For a subvariety $Y \subset X$, we denote by $C(X)|_Y \subset Y \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ the closure of $C(X_{sm}) \cap (Y \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee)$.

The inequality in the following lemma was already shown in [LS, Proposition 3.5]. Later we need the statement in the equality case.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety. Take a general $H \in X^*$, and let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety of codimension c such that $Y_{sm} \cap Z_H \cap X_{sm} \neq \emptyset$. Then we have $\delta_X \geqslant \delta_Y - c$. Moreover, if $\delta_X = \delta_Y - c$ holds, then we have $Y^* = \mathrm{pr}_2(C(X)|_Y) \subset X^*$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H) = \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H) \cap C(X)|_Y$; in particular, the contact locus on X of H is contained in Y.*

Proof. Let us consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(X)|_Y & \subset & C(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & X^* \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_1} & & \\ Y & \subset & X. & & \end{array}$$

Then $\mathrm{pr}_2(C(X)|_Y) \subset Y^*$ holds since $\mathbb{T}_{x'} Y \subset \mathbb{T}_{x'} X \subset H$ for general $(x', H') \in C(X)|_Y$. Since $(x, H) \in C(X)|_Y$ for $x \in Y_{sm} \cap Z_H \cap X_{sm}$, we have $H \in Y^*$. Since

$Z_H = \mathrm{pr}_1(\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H)) \simeq \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_X &= \dim(\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H)) \\
&\geqslant \dim(\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H) \cap C(X)|_Y) \\
&\geqslant \dim(C(X)|_Y) - \dim(\mathrm{pr}_2(C(X)|_Y)) \qquad (6.1) \\
&\geqslant \dim(C(X)|_Y) - \dim(Y^*) \\
&= (N-1-\dim(X)+\dim(Y)) - (N-1-\delta_Y) = \delta_Y - c.
\end{aligned}$$

Next assume that $\delta_X = \delta_Y - c$. Then the equality holds in the first inequality of (6.1), hence we have $\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H) = \mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H) \cap C(X)|_Y$. By the third inequality, we also have $\dim \mathrm{pr}_2(C(X)|_Y) = \dim(Y^*)$; hence $Y^* = \mathrm{pr}_2(C(X)|_Y) \subset X^*$. □

In $\{Y_s\}_s$ is a covering family of X, we can take some Y_s as Y in Lemma 6.4 for general H. Hence we have $\delta_X \geqslant \delta - c$ as stated in Introduction, where c is the codimension of Y_s and $\delta = \delta_{Y_s}$ for general s.

Geometrically, Proposition 4.7 (iv) is a special case of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A\rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $Y \subset X_A$ be a subvariety of codimension c with $Y \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \neq \varnothing$. Then $\delta_{X_A} \geqslant \delta_Y - c$ holds.*

In particular, if Y is the join of $r+1$ projective varieties, then $\delta_{X_A} \geqslant r - c$ holds.

Proof. By the torus action, we have an isomorphism $t\cdot : X_A \to X_A$ for each $t \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. Let $t \cdot Y \subset X_A$ be the image of Y by this isomorphism. Then $\delta_{t\cdot Y} = \delta_Y$ holds for any $t \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. Since $Y \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \neq \varnothing$, $\{t \cdot Y\}_{t\in(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n}$ covers X_A. Hence we have $\delta_{X_A} \geqslant \delta_Y - c$. Since the dual defect of the join of $r+1$ varieties is at least r, we have the last statement. □

We see one more lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A-A\rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Take $A_0, \ldots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$ which satisfy (a),(b),(c) in Theorem 1.3. Then $\delta_{X_{p(A_i)}} = 0$ holds for each $0 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. In particular, $\delta_{J(X_{A_0},\ldots,X_{A_r})} = r$ holds.*

Proof. Although we can show this lemma by applying Theorem 1.3 to each A_i, we give a more geometric proof using above lemmas in this section.

Since $p \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^r}(A_0 * \cdots * A_r) = p(A_0) * \cdots * p(A_r)$ is of join type, it follows from Lemma 6.2 that $X_{p\times\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^r}(A_0*\cdots*A_r)}$ is the join of $X_{p(A_0)}, \ldots, X_{p(A_r)}$. Then we have

$$r - c = \delta_{X_A} \geqslant \delta_{J(X_{p(A_0)},\ldots,X_{p(A_r)})} - c = r + \sum_{i=0}^{r} \delta_{X_{p(A_i)}} - c,$$

where the middle inequality follows from Lemma 6.5 and the last equality follows from Lemma 6.1. Hence each $\delta_{X_{p(A_i)}}$ must be 0. □

Casagrande and Di Rocco [CD, Corollary 5.5, Remark 5.6] proved that if X_A is normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, there exists an elementary extremal contraction of fiber type $\psi : X_A \to X'$ whose fiber is the join of $r+1$ toric varieties and $\delta_{X_A} = r - \dim X'$. We note that $\dim X'$ is nothing but the codimension of the fiber in X_A. The following theorem is an analog of their result for any X_A.

Theorem 6.7 (= Theorem 1.4)**.** *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a finite subset with $\langle A - A\rangle = \mathbb{Z}^n$. There exists a torus equivariant dominant rational map $\psi : X_A \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$ for some*

$c \geqslant 0$ such that the closure of each fiber is projectively equivalent to the join of $r+1$ non-defective toric varieties and $\delta_{X_A} = r - c$.

Proof. We take $A_0, \dots, A_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r}$ and $p : \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r-c}$ in the statement of Theorem 1.3. We may assume that $A = A_0 * \cdots * A_r$.

Since $\ker(p \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^r}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^c$, the inclusion $\ker(p \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^r}) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ induces a surjective morphism $\psi : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n-r} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \to (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$ between algebraic tori. We regard ψ as a rational map from X_A to $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$. Then the closure of the fiber $\psi^{-1}(1_c)$ in X_A is nothing but $X_{p \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}^r}(A)} = J(X_{p(A_0)}, \dots, X_{p(A_r)}) \subset X_A$. By Lemma 6.6, we have $\delta_{X_{p(A_i)}} = 0$, i.e., $X_{p(A_i)}$ is non-defective. Hence this ψ satisfies the condition of this theorem. □

The dual variety $X_A^* \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ of the toric variety X_A also has a fibration structure which is the dual of ψ in the following sense. When X_A is normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, this was proved in [CD, Theorem 5.2].

Proposition 6.8. *Let $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ and ψ be as in Theorem 1.4. Then there exists a dominant rational map $\psi^* : X_A^* \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$ such that $\overline{(\psi^*)^{-1}(s)} = (\overline{\psi^{-1}(s)})^*$ in $(\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ and $\delta_{X_A^*} = \delta_{\overline{(\psi^*)^{-1}(s)}} - c$ for general $s \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$.*

Proof. We write $X = X_A$. For $s \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$, set $Y_s = \overline{\psi^{-1}(s)} \subset X$. From Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 6.6, we have $\delta_X = r - c = \delta_{Y_s} - c$. From Lemma 6.4, the contact locus on X of a general $H \in X^*$ is contained in some Y_s. Thus this proposition can be shown in a similar way to [CD, Proposition 5.1]; however our ψ is not a morphism and we need to take care of this point.

We take B to be a projective variety containing $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^c$ as an open subset. By resolving the indeterminacy of the rational map $\psi \circ \mathrm{pr}_1 : C(X) \to X \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c \subset B$, we take a birational map $\mu : \widetilde{C}(X) \to C(X)$ for some normal projective variety $\widetilde{C}(X)$ such that $\Psi := \psi \circ \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \mu$ is a morphism from $\widetilde{C}(X)$ to B. Set $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2 := \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \mu : \widetilde{C}(X) \to C(X) \to X^* \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$.



Since $\widetilde{C}(X)$ is normal, $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2$ factors through the normalization $\nu : (X^*)^{nor} \to X^*$, and we have a morphism $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2' : \widetilde{C}(X) \to (X^*)^{nor}$. Since pr_2 is a projective bundle over $(X^*)_{sm}$ and μ is birational, $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2$ has connected fibers over $(X^*)_{sm}$. Hence $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2'$ has connected fibers.

Since Y_s's cover X, $C(X)|_{Y_s}$'s cover $C(X)$. From Lemma 6.4, we have $\mathrm{pr}_2(C(X)|_{Y_s}) = Y_s^*$ and hence Y_s^*'s cover X^*. Thus, for general $H \in X^*$, we can take some $s \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c \subset B$ such that $H \in Y_s^*$. Then $\mathrm{pr}_2^{-1}(H) \subset C(X)|_{Y_s}$ holds by Lemma 6.4, which implies $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2^{-1}(H) \subset \Psi^{-1}(s)$. In other words, a general fiber of $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2$, which is also a general fiber of $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2'$, is contracted to a point by Ψ.

Applying [De, Lemma 1.15 (a)] to $\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2' : \widetilde{C}(X) \to (X^*)^{nor}$ and Ψ, we have a rational map $\psi^* : X^* \dashrightarrow B$ such that $\Psi = \psi^* \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2$ holds as rational maps. Then $\overline{(\psi^*)^{-1}(s)} = \widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_2(\Psi^{-1}(s)) = \mathrm{pr}_2(C(X)|_{Y_s}) = Y_s^*$ holds for general s. From the projective duality $X^{**} = X$ and $Y_s^{**} = Y_s$, we have $\delta_{X^*} = N - 1 - \dim(X) = N - 1 - (\dim(Y_s) + c) = \delta_{Y_s^*} - c$. □

Example 6.9. Contrary to the $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial case, we cannot take a morphism as ψ in Theorem 1.4 in general, even if X_A is normal.

To see this, consider $A = A_0 * A_1 * A_2 * A_3 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^3$ in Example 5.10. By Example 5.10, we have $r = 3, c = 2$, and hence $\delta_{X_A} = 1$.

By the definition of A_i, there exists a birational morphism $\mu : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \to X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$, where $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{O}(2,0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(0,2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1,1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1,1)$. The contracted locus of μ is the disjoint union of two sections of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}(2,0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(0,2)$. Thus the Picard number of X_A is one. Hence there is no surjective morphism from X_A to a two dimensional variety. In this case, ψ is the rational map

$$X_A \overset{\mu^{-1}}{\dashrightarrow} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \supset (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2.$$

Since the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^d H^0(\mathcal{E}) \to H^0(\mathrm{Sym}^d \mathcal{E})$ is surjective for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the embedding $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$ is projectively normal. In particular, X_A in normal.

References

[BDR] M. Bourel, A. Dickenstein, and A. Rittatore, *Self-dual projective toric varieties*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **84** (2011), no. 2, 514–540.

[CD] C. Casagrande and S. Di Rocco, *Projective $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial toric varieties covered by lines*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **10** (2008), no. 3, 363–389.

[CLS] D. Cox, J. Little, and H. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **124**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

[CC] R. Curran and E. Cattani, *Restriction of A-discriminants and dual defect toric varieties*, J. Symbolic Comput. **42** (2007), no. 1–2, 115–135.

[De] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. xiv+233 pp.

[DFS] A. Dickenstein, E. M. Feichtner, and B. Sturmfels, *Tropical discriminants*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 4, 1111–1133.

[DN] A. Dickenstein and B. Nill, *A simple combinatorial criterion for projective toric manifolds with dual defect*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 3, 435–448.

[DR] S. Di Rocco, *Projective duality of toric manifolds and defect polytopes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **93** (2006), no. 1, 85–104.

[Es] A. Esterov, *Newton polyhedra of discriminants of projections*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **44** (2010), no. 1, 96–148.

[Fu] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **131**. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[FI] K. Furukawa and A. Ito, *Gauss maps of toric varieties*, to appear in Tohoku Math. J.

[GKZ] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, and A. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994. x+523 pp.

[HS] M. Helmer and B. Sturmfels, *Nearest Points on Toric Varieties*, arXiv:1603.06544.

[LS] A. Lanteri and D. Struppa, *Projective 7-folds with positive defect*, Compositio Math. **61** (1987), no. 3, 329–337.

[IZ] N. Ilten and A. Zotine, *On Fano schemes of toric varieties*, preprint (2016).

[It] A. Ito, *Algebro-geometric characterization of Cayley polytopes*, Adv. Math. **270** (2015), 598–608.

[MT] Y. Matsui and K. Takeuchi, *A geometric degree formula for A-discriminants and Euler obstructions of toric varieties*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 2, 2040–2064.

[Pi] R. Piene, *Discriminants, polytopes, and toric geometry*, Mathematics in the 21st century, 151–162, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., **98**, Springer, Basel, 2015.

[Te] E. A. Tevelev, *Projective duality and homogeneous spaces*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **133**. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, IV. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
E-mail address: katu@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
E-mail address: aito@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp